EXHIBIT 172

```
Page 1
 1
                      IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                         FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 3
                                 SHERMAN DIVISION
             THE STATE OF TEXAS, et al.,
                                   Plaintiffs, ) Case No.
 5
                                                   ) 4:20-cv-00957-SDJ
 6
             vs.
 7
             GOOGLE LLC,
 8
                                   Defendant.
 9
10
                             DEPOSITION OF JOHN OLSON,
11
12
                    30(b)(6) DESIGNEE FOR THE STATE OF IDAHO
13
                                    May 3, 2024
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
           Reported by:
24
           Rebecca Martin, CSR #1108, RPR, CRR
25
           Job No. CS6657429
```

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

Page 58

and economy of the state. There may be particular groups or individuals who have suffered that harm that may benefit from the redress, for instance, through the injunctive relief that is obtained.

- Q. Okay. Is Idaho alleging that there has been harm to advertisers in the state of Idaho?
 - A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

2.3

2.4

25

- Q. And what is the factual basis for the allegations that Google's conduct harmed advertisers in the state of Idaho?
- A. Similar to what I've answered before, that the Texas witnesses -- the witness as to the common facts, and the common facts here would show nationwide harm to -- apologies, was your question about advertisers?
 - Q. Advertisers, correct.
- A. Nationwide harm to advertisers, and it appears that the harm to advertisers is not dependent upon the geographic location of those advertisers such that advertisers in Idaho would also be subject to the same nationwide harm that has been alleged and for which Texas is the designated witness as to those common claims.
- Q. Sitting here today, are you aware of anything Idaho-specific or any Idaho-specific harm

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

Page 59 or are you only relying on the factual basis 1 2 alleged by Texas? 3 Sitting here today, with the caveats Α. that there's still some discovery ongoing, 4 5 discovery to be reviewed and digested, as well as 6 what will be shown through the expert reports, 7 sitting here today, I do not have independent Idaho-specific facts. 8 9 Are you aware of whether any advertisers 10 in Idaho used Google Ad Tech products to sell Display advertising? 11 12 I am not aware of a specific advertiser 13 that does use Google Ad Tech products -- I mean, a specific advertiser in the state of Idaho that uses 14 15 Google Ad Tech products. 16 Are you aware if there are any 17 advertisers that use Google Ad Tech products? I'm not aware of a specific one or any 18 Α. 19 specific one. I would assume, again, based on the 20 nationwide harm, Google's sizable market share in 21 the market, that there are advertisers here in 2.2 Idaho that use Google Ad Tech products. 23 Is Idaho alleging that Google's alleged 0. 24 conduct harmed publishers in Idaho?

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

25

Α.

Yes.

Page 63

factual basis alleged in the Fourth Amended Complaint, described in our interrogatory responses, and to which the Texas designated witness testified.

And then as to Idaho, being that it's nationwide harm and nothing indicating that the location of the individual, that the harm is related to that, that Idaho individuals are -- I believe, as you said, citizens would likewise be harmed to the same extent as identified in the Fourth Amended Complaint and our discovery responses.

- Q. Can you identify anything separate and apart from what Texas alleged and testified to as the factual basis for the allegation that Google's conduct harmed consumer -- individuals in the state of Idaho?
- A. I'll have to, again, reiterate that it would not necessarily be limited to what the Texas witness said, but also as described in our interrogatory responses and in the Fourth Amended Complaint.

But there -- yeah, I'm not aware currently of any independent Idaho facts regarding the harm to Idaho individuals or citizens.

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

2.

	Page 160
1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
	STATE OF IDAHO)
3) ss.
	COUNTY OF ADA)
4	
5	I, REBECCA MARTIN, Certified Shorthand Reporter and
6	Notary Public in and for the State of Idaho, do hereby
7	certify:
8	That prior to being examined, the witness named in
9	the foregoing deposition was duly sworn remotely by me to
10	testify to the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
11	the truth;
12	That said deposition was taken down by me in
13	shorthand at the time and place therein named and
14	thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction,
15	and that the foregoing transcript contains a full, true
16	and verbatim record of said deposition.
17	I further certify that I have no interest in the
18	event of the action.
19	WITNESS my hand and seal this 6th day of May 2024
20	Hehreea Mati
21	
22	REBECCA MARTIN
	RPR and Notary
23	Public in and for the
	State of Idaho
24	
25	My Commission Expires: 08-27-2024

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098